



Chiquita Brooks-LaSure  
Administrator  
Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services  
Department of Health and Human Services  
7500 Security Boulevard  
Baltimore, Maryland 21244

**Re: CY 2025 Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) and Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC) proposed rule (CMS 1809-P)**

Dear Ms. Brooks-LaSure,

On behalf of the National Alliance to Impact Social Determinants of Health (NASDOH), we thank you for the opportunity to provide comments in response to the CY 2025 Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) and Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC) proposed rule.

**About NASDOH**

Founded in 2018 by Governor Mike Leavitt and Dr. Karen DeSalvo, NASDOH is a multi-sector coalition of stakeholders working to advance widespread adoption of effective policies and programs to address health-related social needs as well as the underlying social and economic conditions in which people live—often called social drivers of health (SDOH). NASDOH brings together stakeholders from different geographic regions with expertise in health care, public health, social services, patient and consumer perspectives, information technology, and business to share learnings, develop policy recommendations, and build consensus on solutions to address SDOH. NASDOH’s work focuses on improving regulatory and reimbursement frameworks, supporting funding opportunities, and addressing practical challenges to implementing and sustaining public and private sector efforts to address SDOH as a core component of advancing health equity.

**Support for adding Screening for SDOH measure and Screen Positive Rate to the Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (OQR) program, Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Reporting (ASCQR) program, and Rural Emergency Hospital Quality (REHQR) program**

CMS is proposing to adopt the Screening for Social Drivers of Health (SDOH) measure and the Screen Positive Rate for Social Drivers of Health measure for the Hospital Outpatient Quality Reporting (OQR) program, the Ambulatory Surgical Center Quality Reporting (ASCQR) program, and the Rural Emergency Hospital Quality (REHQR) program. NASDOH supports the addition of the quality measures to these programs. Quality measures are an important tool for advancing the use of social needs screenings and connecting people to services that support improved health outcomes.

NASDOH has previously supported adding these measures to the Merit-based Incentive Payment program ([MIPS](#)), the PPS-Exempt Cancer Hospital Quality Reporting Program ([PCHQR](#)) the Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting ([IQR](#)) Program, the Alternative Payment Model Performance Pathway ([APP](#)) and the core measures set. We believe that adding these measures to additional CMS quality reporting programs is logical and beneficial.

Information about an individual's social risk and needs has been shown to be sensitive, and individuals are often hesitant to disclose this information for fear of bias, misuse, or discrimination. Asking beneficiaries to disclose this information without also offering them services and supports to address identified needs may lead to increased distrust, impact reliability of data overtime, and worsen disparities. For this reason, NASDOH has consistently advocated that it is essential that we quickly move beyond assessing whether screening was completed to assessing actions taken to ensure efforts are achieving the health equity and disparities reduction goals to which CMS is committed. We encourage CMS to continue taking steps towards measuring prompt action to connect to a community-based organization, interventions to address the HRSN, and resolution of the need. This includes supporting Medicare providers in partnering with, and ideally contracting with, community-based organizations, to bolster social care infrastructure and capacity to address the need identified during screening.

Additionally, repeatedly asking patients for the same sensitive information might make them feel like their time and privacy are not valued, or that their healthcare providers are not effectively communicating or coordinating their care. This could lead to patients becoming disengaged from the healthcare system or less willing to share important information in the future. As health care providers are increasingly screening for and collecting data on social risk factors and HRSNs, NASDOH encourages CMS to consider supporting data portability and screening interoperability across inpatient and outpatient facilities. Data should be collected and stored using technical standards that allow for the exporting and use of that data across different technologies and platforms as well as with social care providers. CMS and providers should consider how patients engage with the health care system to support screening interoperability and avoid unnecessary duplication of screenings and assessments. While repetition of screenings and assessments at appropriate intervals can support the identification of emerging or changing needs, duplication may lead to mistrust.

In December 2023, NASDOH convened multiple stakeholders, representative of payers, providers, community-based organizations, social service providers, public health, and technology resources across the country. At this convening, stakeholders discussed the various social needs related screening measures and how quality measures and quality programs can best meet patient needs and policymakers' objectives. Participants also discussed the goals and outcomes of screening, variability of tools and processes for screening, incorporating data from social needs screening into clinical care and decision making, funding needs for screening and addressing HRSNs, including building community capacity, and supporting multi-sector involvement. The result of the convening was ten principles for adoption, updating, and

implementing quality measures related to social needs. We encourage CMS to consider these principles in furthering SDOH-related policies within quality reporting and payment programs.

- 1) **Improving Outcomes**—Quality measures are an important tool for advancing the use of culturally sensitive social needs screenings, connecting people to services that improve outcomes, and advancing health equity.
- 2) **Meeting Patient’s Needs**—SDOH-related quality measures should be designed and implemented primarily to advance the health and well-being of individuals, including through the delivery of social services and supports. SDOH-related measures should prioritize holistic improvement in the patient’s health and well-being rather than focusing on reducing the number of social needs.
- 3) **Screening Intervals and Duplication**—Implementation of quality measures should consider how patients engage with the health and social care systems and avoid unnecessary duplication of screenings. While repetition of screenings at appropriate intervals can support the identification of emerging or changing needs, duplication of screenings may lead to mistrust.
- 4) **Strengths-Based Approach**—SDOH-related quality measures should be designed to highlight assets and support strengths-based solutions rather than focusing on deficits and imposing specific interventions to address individual HRSNs.
- 5) **Incorporate Feedback**—Feedback from patients on their experiences of SDOH-related screenings should be used to inform updates to quality measures.
- 6) **Harmonized Measures**—A core set of measures harmonized across quality and payment programs would support adoption and reduce the administrative burden for patients, providers, payers, social workers, community health workers, and others involved. Determinants of health that impact health equity should be a part of measures used, including integrated healthcare, community resiliency, physical environment, socioeconomics, and community trauma.
- 7) **Using Existing Data**—Collection of data to inform SDOH measures should, whenever possible, draw from existing data sources to minimize additional data collection burden on all involved.
- 8) **Screening Tools and Administration**—Quality measures should allow for the use of a variety of validated screening tools and entities that are screening should have flexibility to determine how screenings are conducted.
- 9) **Shared Responsibility and Commitment**—Identifying and addressing HRSNs is a shared responsibility. Therefore, quality measures should be driven and informed by engagement with patients and impacted communities and should encourage meaningful collaboration between health care, public health, and social service, and other sectors to screen and connect patients to the resources they need. Additionally, each sector must be committed, supported, and empowered to address patient needs, improve outcomes of individuals and communities they serve and hold each sector accountable for performance.
- 10) **Data Justice**—Screening data should be shared with the communities it originates from to advance community-level efforts to address SDOH. The data should be useful and updated regularly, consistently, and accurately.

NASDOH appreciates the opportunity to comment on this proposed rule. For more information on NASDOH and our members, please visit our website at [www.nasdoh.org](http://www.nasdoh.org) or contact Sara Singleton at [Sara.Singleton@leavittpartners.com](mailto:Sara.Singleton@leavittpartners.com).

Sincerely,

*Sara Singleton*

Sara Singleton

Principal, Leavitt Partners and Advisor to NASDOH